



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/849,857      | 05/03/2001  | Hideki Itaya         | HCD11785            | 9838             |

7590 08/15/2005

Douglas A. Chaikin, Esq.  
PENINSULA IP GROUP  
26150 Bucks Run  
Corral de Tierra, CA 93908

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

LUDLOW, JAN M

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1743

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |                                      |                                     |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/849,857 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>ITAYA ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Jan M. Ludlow     | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1743             |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Jan M. Ludlow.

(2) Douglas Chaikin.

**Status of Application:** prosecution to be reopened

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 July 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*all*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner indicated that prosecution would be reopened and an action forthcoming.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**JAN LUDLOW**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)